Name: Date:

**Tables and Figures Survey Worksheet**

Identifying Styles in Your Reading

|  |
| --- |
| Instructions |

1. *Insert information from one research article read in the* ***Article Information*** *table.*
2. ***Erase the examples first,***  *and then using the appropriate sections from one of the research articles you are reading, copy and paste images and 1~2 example sentences that perform the move described in the* ***Reading Table.***
3. *If the information is not available, put N/A (N/A or not applicable) in the example space. i.e. if “we” or “our” is not used in the section you are reading, you can place N/A in that example space in the table.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Article Information* | |
| Title | Error Correction Code Transformer. |
| Author (s) | Choukroun, Yoni, and Lior Wolf. |
| Journal Title | *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.14966* (2022). |
| Year of Publishing |  |
| Volume/Issue |  |
| Pages |  |
| Keywords / Search Terms | Transformer, ldpc, decoding, encoding |

## 

|  |
| --- |
| Table and Figures Reading Table |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Table** | |
| Moves | Example from your article |
| Image of table and it’s label |  |
| Where is the label located?  Underline or Highlight | **Above the Table** |
| Copy and paste all text from label here. | **Table 1: A comparison of the negative natural logarithm of Bit Error Rate (BER) for three normalized SNR values of our method with literature baselines. Higher is better. Concurrent results are obtained after L = 5 BP iterations in first row (i.e. 10 layers neural network) and at convergence results in second row obtained after L = 50 BP iterations (i.e. 100 layers neural network). Best results in bold, second best is underlined, and the minimal Transformer architecture to outperform every other competing method is in italic. Our performance are presented for seven different architectures: for N = {2, 6}, we present results for d = {32, 64, 128} (first to third row), and for N = 10 we run only the d = 128 configuration** |
| Reference to table in text | The results are reported in **Tab. 1** where we present the negative natural logarithm of the BER. For each code we present the results of the BP based competing methods for 5 and 50 iterations (first and second row), corresponding to 10 and 100 layers neural network respectively. |
| Additional Notes / Unusual features | Although it is mentioned in the paper’s other section, contents of parameters are omitted in the label. |
| **Figure (image)** | |
| Moves | Example from your article |
| Image of Figure and it’s label |  |
| Where is the label located?  Underline or Highlight | **Underneath the Figure** |
| Copy and paste all text from label here. | **Figure 1: Left: Feedforward neural network exhibiting the dependency “if n1,2 is inactive, then n2,1 is inactive”. Right: Depiction of the configuration space reduction induced by the dependency** |
| Reference to Figure in text | This construction enables more freedom in decoding than the relations enabled by the Tanner graph, since related bits may have an impact on each other beyond the parity check equations as depicted in **Figure 2**. While regular Transformer can be assimilated to a neural network applied on a complete graph, the proposed mask can be seen as the adjacency matrix of the Tanner graph extended to a two rings connectivity. |
| Additional Notes / Unusual features |  |
| **Figure (graph)** | |
| Moves | Example from your article |
| Image of Figure and it’s label |  |
| Where is the label located?  Underline or Highlight | **Above the Figure** |
| Copy and paste all text from label here. | Figure 4: BER for various values of SNR for (a) Polar(64,32) and (b) BCH(63,51) codes. Comparison with the best model of Bennatan et al. [2] on BCH(127,64) code (c). |
| Reference to Figure in text | We provide plots of more BER values for some of the codes in **Figure 4(a,b)** for Polar(64,32) and BCH(63,51) codes, respectively. Our method is able to outperform the converged AR-BP model by up to two orders of magnitudes for high SNR values. |
| Additional Notes / Unusual features |  |
| **Equation / Algorithm** (underline the appropriate one) | |
| Moves | Example from your article |
| Image of equation/algorthim and it’s label |  |
| Where is the label located?  Underline or Highlight | **No label** |
| Copy and paste the Descriptive Label (for algorithm) | **N/A** |
| Reference to equation in text | **N/A** |
| Additional Notes / Unusual features | There is no numerical label for this equation, perhaps because it is the only self-standing equation in the paper. (**Because it's a formula that's too widely used**.) |
| **Equation / Algorithm** | |
| Moves | Example from your article |
| Image of equation/algorithm and it’s label |  |
| Where is the label located?  Underline or Highlight | **No label** |
| Copy and paste the Descriptive Label (for algorithm) | **N/A** |
| Reference to equation in text | We summarize the construction of the mask in **Algorithm 11**. This construction enables more freedom in decoding than the relations enabled by the Tanner graph, since related bits may have an impact on each other beyond the parity check equations as depicted in Figure 2. |
| Additional Notes / Unusual features | The author made a **typo**. (**Algorithm11 -> Algorithm1**) |